

## Glennville State University Faculty Senate – minutes

Meeting Date: October 29, 2024

Meeting Place: 319 MCCC

Meeting Time: 12:26 PM

- I. CALL TO ORDER
  - a. President S. Chapman called the meeting to order at 12:26 pm.
  - b. Senators in Attendance: D. Lewis, G. Lieving, S. Haynes, K. Queen, D. McEntire, S. Beatty, S. Silva, N. Nassiri, M. Sarver,
  - c. Administrators in Attendance: M. Clements,
  - d. Others in Attendance: B. Hutton, E. Matory, J.D. Carpenter, J. Gumm
- II. Approval of Minutes
  - a. The minutes of Sep 3 presented for approval. G. Lieving noted the misspelling of his name. Lieving motioned to approve with corrections. Nassiri seconded. Approval – All, no abstentions, no opposition.
- III. Reports
  - a. President – S. Chapman
    - i. Amanda Stafford has not yet been rescheduled to talk with Senate. Hope to contact for next meeting.
    - ii. ULC election concluded with Jeremy Keene elected as 2-year representative. Queen remains 3-year, and Baker remains 1-year.
  - b. ACF - K. Queen
    - i. Retreat scheduled for 8-9 November
    - ii. List of potential topics/issues to be discussed at retreat was sent out to faculty. Not included on list were Salary Compression and PEIA increases. S. Chapman was surprised by this, as it was discussed in the Faculty Chat the previous week.
  - c. BoG – M. Gildein
    - i. Gildein was in Charleston for a BoG meeting and was not present at Senate.
  - d. Academic Affairs – M. Clements
    - i. Clements was in Charleston for a BoG meeting and was not present at Senate.
- IV. Old Business
  - a. M. Sarver gave an update on the Salary, Compensation and Competitiveness. They are still awaiting data from HEPC.
  - b. Academic Appeal Policy
    - i. Major change was from 7 days to 5 work days.
    - ii. Question was asked if we are to review and comment or approve/suggest change. S. Chapman said Review comment. He did not believe we have the power to change. E. Matory stated that suggestions from Faculty Senate are taken seriously. Chapman suggested we provide feedback as needed.
    - iii. S. Silva said that there were differing times that could be confusing. 5 days early on to 30 days at the end of the paragraph. Chapman tried to explain the thinking. Wenner stated that the entire paragraph is confusing. Reviewed and commented to reword the second paragraph.
  - c. Academic Appeal Policy
    - i. Chapman – Level 2 went from 7 days to 5 work days. Level 3 presidential approval was 7 days. As all others were 5 days, why is this one different? Reviewed and commented to review this paragraph.
  - d. Probation and Suspension

i. The phrase “sit out” was not in accordance with the other wording of the policy. Reviewed and comment to consider using “length of suspension” or “suspension time”.

e. Administrative Policy 1.

i. Sarver asked why these were not separate? “Legal & Preferred Names” as one policy and “Preferred Pronouns/Prefixes” a separate policy.

ii. Silva noted that the words “alumni” and “Graduate” were both used, although they are the same thing. Reviewed and commented that it should be Alumni or graduate, not both. Discussion on this policy will continue at the next meeting.

V. New Business

a. Remaining agenda items tabled until the next meeting.

**VI.** Adjourn – 1:20